

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANGELO ARDO and JEAN  
MONAGHAN, individually and as personal  
representative/administrator of the Estate of  
her son, ANTHONY ARDO,

Plaintiffs,

v.

OFFICER EDDIE PAGAN, in his  
individual capacity as a Pennsylvania State  
Police Trooper, and OFFICER JAY  
SPLAIN, in his individual capacity as a  
Pennsylvania State Police Trooper,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 18-5217

**ORDER**

**AND NOW**, this 26th day of January, 2023, after considering the defendants' motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 103) and the plaintiffs' response in opposition to the motion (Doc. No. 105); and after hearing oral argument on the motion; and for the reasons set forth in the separately filed memorandum opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the motion for summary judgment (Doc. No. 103) is **GRANTED IN PART** and **DENIED IN PART** as follows:

1. The motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED** insofar as the defendants sought summary judgment on behalf of defendant Officer Eddie Pagan with respect to all state law claims asserted against him in the second amended complaint. Judgment is **ENTERED** in favor of defendant Officer Eddie Pagan and against the plaintiffs for all state law claims made against him in the second amended complaint (Doc. No. 33); and

2. The remainder of the motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Edward G. Smith  
EDWARD G. SMITH, J.